Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9387 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:27:19 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 15

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07) EDVA

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET		ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER (Court Use Only)		
(Instructions on Reverse)		(court ese emy)		
PLAINTIFFS	DEFENDANTS			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.)	ATTORNEYS (If Known)			
PARTY (Check One Box Only)	PARTY (Check One Box Only)			
□ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin	□ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin			
□ Creditor □ Other		□ Creditor □ Other		
☐ Trustee CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE	□ Trustee			
NATURE (
(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1	, first alternat	ive cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)		
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property		6) – Dischargeability (continued)		
11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference		argeability - \$523(a)(5), domestic support argeability - \$523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury		
13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer	63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), willful and mancious injury 63-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(8), student loan			
14-Recovery of money/property - other	64-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation (other than domestic support)			
FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien □ 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property	65-Dischargeability - other			
FRBP 7001(3) - Approval of Sale of Property		7) - Injunctive Relief ctive relief – imposition of stay		
31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)	72-Injunctive relief – other			
FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - \$727(c),(d),(e)	FRBP 7001(8) Subordination of Claim or Interest 81-Subordination of claim or interest			
EDDD 7004/5) D	□ 61-3u00	difficultion of claim of interest		
FRBP 7001(5) − Revocation of Confirmation 51-Revocation of confirmation		D) Declaratory Judgment uratory judgment		
FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability	FRBP 7001(1	(0) Determination of Removed Action		
☐ 66-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims ☐ 62-Dischargeability - \$523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation,		rmination of removed claim or cause		
actual fraud	Other	C 15 U.S.C. 9970 4		
67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny	_	. Case – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq</i> . • (e.g. other actions that would have been brought in state court		
(continued next column)		related to bankruptcy case)		
☐ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law		this is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
☐ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint	Demand \$			
Other Relief Sought				

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9387 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:27:19 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 15

B104 (FORM 104) (08/07), Page 2

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES					
NAME OF DEBTOR		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.			
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING		DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)					
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT	Γ	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	1G	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)					
DATE		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE	EY (OR PLAINTIFF)		

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also complete and file Form 104, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, *unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 104 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely self-explanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and **Defendants.** Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

*Per LBR 7003-1, in the EDVA, a properly completed Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet is required.

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*) PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL &

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard

Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 Telephone: (310) 277-6910

Telecopy: (310) 277-6910 Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017

Telephone: (212) 561-7700 Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

Lynn L. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679) TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 783-8300

Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,) Chapter 11
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING TRUST,	
Plaintiff,)
v.)
PENSKE TRUCK LEASING CO., L.P.; PENSKE CORPORATION; and GELCO CORPORATION D/B/A GE FLEET SERVICES;)))
Defendant.	

THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS OWING TO THE ESTATE AND OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 13374, 1272, 13903 AND SCHEDULE F CLAIM

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9387 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:27:19 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 15

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.

Liquidating Trust (the "Trustee"), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of

Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official

Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the "Plan"), for his complaint and

for his objection to Proof of Claim No. 13374, 1272, 13903 and Schedule F Claim (the

"Complaint") against Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P., Penske Corporation and Gelco Corporation,

d/b/a GE Fleet Services ("Defendant"), alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. The Trustee brings this action against Defendants to recover amounts due and owing from Defendants to Circuit City arising from the relationship between the parties. The Trustee seeks to avoid and recover certain preferential transfers that occurred during the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy proceedings, as well as objects to Defendant's proofs of claim filed against the Debtors' estates.

THE PARTIES

2. The Trustee ("Trustee" or "Plaintiff") is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the "Trust"). Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors' estates.

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9387 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:27:19 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 15

- 3. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively "Circuit City" or the "Debtors")¹ were corporations that maintained their respective principal places of business in the locations set forth below, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.
- 4. Upon information and belief, Defendant Penske Truck Leasing Co., L.P.("Penske Truck") is a Delaware Limited Partnership with its principal place of business in Reading, Pennsylvania. Upon information and belief, Defendant Penske Corporation ("Penske") is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business in Bloomfield Hills, Michigan. Upon Information and belief Defendant Gelco Corporation, is a Delaware Corporation d/b/a GE Fleet Services ("GEFS") and with its principal place of business in Atlanta, Georgia.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
 - 6. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc.(6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc.(n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512). The address for Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. is 9250 Sheridan Boulevard, Westminster, Colorado 80031. For all other Debtors, the address was 9950 Mayland Drive, Richmond, Virginia 23233 and currently is 4951 Lake Brook Drive, Glen Allen, VA 23060.

- 7. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 8. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 105, 502, 503, 547, 550, and 558 of title 11, United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

A. General Case Background

- 9. On November 10, 2008 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.
- 10. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the "Creditors' Committee").
- 11. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors' retail locations (the "Stores") pursuant to an agency agreement (the "Agency Agreement") between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the "Agent"). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors' stores were completed.

- 12. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Creditors' Committee filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 13. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan.
 - 14. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010 (the "Effective Date").

B. The Business Relationship Between Circuit City and Defendant

- 15. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.
- 16. Circuit City and Defendant entered into contracts, and /or agreements pursuant to which Circuit City agreed to lease vehicles as provided by Defendant (the "Agreement").
- 17. Circuit City and Defendant conducted business with one another up to and through the Petition Date under the Agreements.
- 18. During the ordinary course of the Debtors' business, the Debtors maintained books and records of their transactions with Defendant (the "Books and Records").

C. Amount Allegedly Owed to Defendant and Defendant's Proof of Claim

(1) <u>Claim No. 1272</u>

19. On or about December 18, 2009 Defendant Penske filed a claim in the amount \$85,923.68 as a claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9). The Claims Agent appointed by the Court designated this claim as Claim No. 1272.

(2) <u>Claim No. 13374</u>

- 20. On or about June 15, 2009, Defendant Penske filed Claim No. 13374 as an amendment to aforementioned Claim 1272.
- 21. Claim No. 13374 was filed in the amount of \$181,199.83, as a unsecured, claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)(9) on account of goods and services provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date.
- 22. Pursuant to Debtors' Sixty-First Omnibus Objection to Certain Alleged 503(b)(9) Claims to General Unsecured Non-Priority Claims, Claim No. 13374 was reclassified to general unsecured status [Docket # 6210].

(3) Schedule F Claim

- 23. Debtors scheduled in favor of Defendant GEFS a Schedule F claim in the amount of \$346,045.32 which is liquidated and undisputed (the "Schedule F Claim")
- 24. The Schedule F Claim is based on account of goods and services provided to Circuit City prior to the Petition Date

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9387 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:27:19 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 15

(4) <u>Claim No. 13903</u>

- 25. On or about June 30, 2009, Defendant GEFS filed Claim No. 13903 as a unsecured claim allegedly entitled to administrative priority under Bankruptcy Code section 503(b)on account of goods and services provided to Circuit City and concurrently therewith filed a Request For Allowance And Payment Of Administrative Expense.
- 26. Claim No. 13903 alleges goods and services provided to Circuit City subsequent to the Petition Date

D. Transfers to Defendants Made Within 90 Days Prior to the Petition Date

- 27. During the 90-day period prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases (the "Preference Period"), Circuit City transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$247,289.35. A list identifying each and every transfer during the Preference Period is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u> and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Preferential Transfers").
- 28. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Preferential Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which the Defendant bears the burden of proof under Section 547(g). Plaintiff will work with Defendant to exchange applicable information in an effort to resolve any and all factual issues with respect to potential defenses

COUNT I.

AVOIDANCE OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)

- 29. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 30. During the Preference Period, Defendant was a creditor of one or more of the Debtors.
- 31. Each Preferential Transfer identified on Exhibit A hereto was made to or for the benefit of Defendant.
- 32. Each Preferential Transfer was made for or on account of an antecedent debt or debts owed by one or more of the Debtors before such Preferential Transfers were made.
 - 33. Each Preferential Transfer was made during the Preference Period.
 - 34. Each Preferential Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent.
- 35. Each Preferential Transfer enabled Defendant to receive more than Defendant would have received if (i) the Debtors' chapter 11 cases were instead cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the transfers and/or payments had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payment on account of the debt paid by the Preferential Transfers to the extent provided by the Bankruptcy Code.
- 36. Each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b).

COUNT II.

RECOVERY OF PREFERENTIAL TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 550

- 37. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein
- 38. Defendant was either (i) the initial transferee of the Preferential Transfers, (ii) the entity for whose benefit the Preferential Transfers were made, or (iii) an immediate or mediate transferee of the Preferential Transfers.
- 39. Each Preferential Transfer that is avoided under Section 547(b) is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.
- 40. Subject to potential defenses, the Trustee is entitled to recover the value of the Preferential Transfers pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550(a).

COUNT III.

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NOS. 1272, 13374, 13903 AND SCHEDULE F CLAIM – DISALLOWANCE UNDER SECTION 502(d)

- 41. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. Claim Nos. 1272, 13374, 13903 and Schedule F Claim assert a right to payment allegedly owed by one or more of the Debtors.
- 43. As alleged above, each Preferential Transfer constitutes an avoidable preference pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 547(b), which is recoverable pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 550.

44. Accordingly, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 502(d), Claim Nos.1272, 13374, 13903 and the Schedule F Claim must be disallowed unless and until Defendant pays to the Trustee an amount equal to each Preferential Transfer that is avoided.

COUNT IV.

OBJECTION TO CLAIM NO. 1272 AS AMENDED AND SUPERSEDED; CLAIM NO. 13374 AS UNSUBSTANTIATED

- 45. The Trustee repeats and realleges each of the allegations set forth above as if fully set forth herein.
- 46. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 1272 was amended by Claim No. 13374. As a matter of law, Claim No. 1272 has been superseded, and should therefore be expunged.
- 47. After a thorough review of the Debtors' Books and Records, the Trustee has determined that Claim No. 13374 is overstated by \$181,199.83 (the "Overstated Amount").
- 48. The Overstated Amount is based on, among other things, lack of supporting documentation for the debt asserted within the claim as well as contradicted by the Debtors' Books and Records.
- 49. As a result of the above, Claim No. 13374 should be reduced by the Overstated Amount and expunged in its entirety.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Trustee respectfully requests and prays that the Court:

Case 08-35653-KRH Doc 9387 Filed 11/10/10 Entered 11/10/10 16:27:19 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 15

- i. Pursuant to Counts I and II, enter judgment against Defendant under 11 U.S.C. §§ 547 and 550 and allow the Trustee to avoid and recover the Preferential Transfers in an amount not less than \$247,289.35; and
- ii. Pursuant to Count III, disallow Claim No. 1272, 13374, 13903 and the Schedule F Claim in accordance with Bankruptcy Code section 502(d) pending payment by Defendant to the Trustee of any avoidable and recoverable transfers; and
 - iii. Pursuant to Count IV, expunge Claim Nos. 1272 and 13374
- iv. Award the Trustee prejudgment interest at the legally allowed applicable rate; and
 - v. Award the Trustee costs, and expenses of suit herein; and
- vi. Grant the Trustee such other and further relief the Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia November 10, 2010 TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner

Lynn L. Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083) Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679) 20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor Richmond, Virginia 23219 (804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq. Andrew W. Caine, Esq. 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90067-4100 (310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq. John A. Morris, Esq. PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor New York, New York 10017 (212) 561-7700

Counsel to the Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

(Preferential Transfers)

Vendor	Check Clear				
Name	Date	Check Number	Pa	aid Amount	
PENSKE	8/25/2008	4549211	\$	14,675.55	
PENSKE	8/26/2008	4550800	\$	7,717.28	
PENSKE	9/2/2008	4551666	\$	48,799.62	
PENSKE	9/10/2008	4552846	\$	6,438.88	
PENSKE	9/15/2008	4557399	\$	5,690.71	
PENSKE	9/16/2008	4557610	\$	15,218.89	
PENSKE	9/22/2008	4559537	\$	745.72	
PENSKE	9/29/2008	4562244	\$	16,431.18	
PENSKE	10/1/2008	4563083	\$	1,125.37	
PENSKE	10/8/2008	4564529	\$	843.80	
PENSKE	10/15/2008	4566705	\$	52,138.85	
PENSKE	10/15/2008	4567769	\$	61,159.91	
PENSKE	10/27/2008	4569958	\$	15,848.69	
PENSKE	11/3/2008	4570688	\$	454.90	

Total Payment

Amount \$ 247,289.35